

REC'D	0.8	NOV	2004
	-		

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Appli	icant's	or age	nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC		ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
	nationa		cation No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.07.2002	
Inter		l Pate		 oth national classification a	nd IPC		
	icant TINOS	SE AS	S et al.				
1.	This Auth	interr ority a	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	mination report has bee applicant according to	n prepared by this Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining	
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
		beei	n amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. basis for this report and n 607 of the Administrat	or sheets containing	ription, claims and/or drawings which have ng rectifications made before this Authority der the PCT).	
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3.	Thio	rono	et contains indications re	elating to the following it	ome:		
3.				stating to the following it	eme.		
	! !	Ø	Basis of the opinion				
}	11		Priority			on and industrial applicability	
	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	 IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 						
	VI		Certain documents cit	* * * *			
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application	n		
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international app	lication		
Date	e of sub	missi	on of the demand		Date of completion	of this report	
09.	02.20	04			05.11.2004		
		exam	g address of the internation ining authority:	nal	Authorized Officer	godina Potacione - C	
-	<u>a</u>	D- Te	iropean Patent Office 80298 Munich II. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 x: +49 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d	Jelercic, D	300 0000 0041	
-		. га	A. +48 08 2388 - 4400		Telephone No. +49	3 KM 2399-2941	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02961

I. Basis	of t	he	re	oor	t
----------	------	----	----	-----	---

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages	
	1-3	4	as originally filed
	Cla	ims, Numbers	
	1-4	4	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, Sheets	
	1/10	0-10/10	as originally filed
2.	Wit lang	h regard to the langu guage in which the in	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).
3.	Witl inte	h regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	ectide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.
ŀ.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02961

5. □	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1,41

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1,41

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-44

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 35 (2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 407 276 (VALOIS SA) 9 January 1991 (1991-01-09)

D2: WO 98/58695 A (MERANTE FRANCESCO ; CECCHINI MARCO (IT); PERA IVO (US)) 30 December 1998 (1998-12-30)

D3: US-A-5 215 221 (DIRKSING ROBERT S) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01)

D4: US-A-1 715 986 (BROWN EDWARD C) 4 June 1929 (1929-06-04)

D5: BE 483 886 A (DELFORTRIE JEAN FILS) 31 July 1948 (1948-07-31)

D6: DE 34 46 466 A (BADEWIEN REINHARD) 3 July 1986 (1986-07-03)

D7: FR 967 505 A (CREPIN & CIE H) 6 November 1950 (1950-11-06)

- 1. The present application comprises two independent claims (nos. 1 and 41) in the same category of devices. Although these claims have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection. Hence, claims 1 and 41 do not comply with the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 1.1 In order to meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, the present set of claims should not comprise more than one independent claim per category. In the present case it is considered appropriate to use only one independent claim referring to the delivery device and one independent claim referring to a delivery method.
- 1.2 The International preliminary examination can, therefore, not be undertaken as long as the claims do not comply with the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 2. Notwithstanding that in view of the objection above it is not possible to define the inventive concept of the application at issue and that it is therefore at present not practicable to carry out a full examination, the following preliminary comments are



given only with respect to the independent claims. No opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability is given for the dependent claims.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of present independent claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Rule 33.1 a), b), c) PCT because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in view of any of the prior art documents D1-D7, e.g. for D1 (cf. column 3, line 56 to column 5, line 54 and figure 1-3).
- 2.2 The subject-matter of present independent claim 41 does not meet the requirements of Rule 33.1 a), b), c) PCT because the subject-matter of independent claim 41 is not new in view of any of the prior art documents D1-D7 (cf. column 3, line 56 to column 5, line 54 and figure 1-3).

Further remarks

- Independent claims 1 and 41 are not drafted in the two part form specified in Rule 3. 6.3b) of the PCT.
- 3.1 Reference numerals are missing after the technical features of the claims (see Rule 6.2b) and PCT Preliminary Examination Guidelines, Chapter III, 4.11).
- 3.2 Claims 43 and 44 contain reference to the description and/or the drawings. According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.
- 3.3 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D7 is not mentioned in the description, nor is/are this/these document/s identified therein.